

As mandated by the 2017 National Ethical Guidelines (page 28, section D, paragraph 2), UV-IRB should consider both the scientific and ethical aspects of the proposed research even when the IRB is distinct from the technical review committee. For student researches, PI and advisers are advised to ensure that the technical and scientific soundness are in place, and that the recommendations of the technical review committee and consultants are considered and integrated in the protocol. It is further advised, that the Research Protocol Assessment Forms issued by UV-IRB are considered during the crafting and evaluation of the proposal.

Instructions:

To the Principal Investigator: Please indicate in the space provided below whether or not the specified assessment point is addressed by your study protocol to facilitate the evaluation of the assessment point. Indicate the page and paragraph where this information can be found.

To the Reviewer: Kindly evaluate how the assessment points outlined below have been appropriately addressed by the researcher protocol as applicable. Please confirm the submitted information by putting your comments in the space provided under "OBSERVATION" and "REFERENCE". Finalize your review by indicating your conclusions under "RECOMMENDED ACTION" and sign the space provided by the reviewer.

		
Duete and Title . THE CHICTAINIAD	HITV OF HELLINDOMATIONS IN CL	HAIFEE AND DOITICH HAILVEDCITIES
Protocol litle: THE SUSTAINAB	ILITY OF HEI: INNOVATIONS IN CF	INESE AND BRITISH UNIVERSITIES

Authors: SUN, LIHUA

Reference Number 2017 -

Adviser: DR. MATHEA BAGUIA

Panel Members: DR. ANNA KATHRINA OAMINAL-WATIN, DR. JERECO JIMS AGAPITO, DR. JAYPEE ZOILO, DR. JAMES ESGUERRA



TO BE FILLED-OUT BY THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR OR PROPONENT: Indicate if the protocol contains the specified point	YES	NO	N/A	Page & paragraph where it is found	OBSERVATION (TO BE FILLED-OUT BY THE REVIEWER)	REFERENCE (TO BE FILLED-OUT BY THE REVIEWER)
ASSESSMENT POINTS						
1. Participant Status - Statement stated that the						
data they provided will be used for research purposes.						
2. Study Goals - Statement on the overall goals of						
the research in lay rather in technical terms and						
the use to which the data will be put						
3. Type of Data - Statement on what type of data						
be collected.						
4. Procedures - Statement on description of the						
data collection procedures to be used.						
5. Nature of the Commitment - Statement on the						
expected time commitment at each point and the						
number of contact within a given time frame.						
6. Information on who is sponsoring or funding						
the study; if the research is part of an academic						
requirement, this information should be shared.						
7. Participants - Selection Statement on how they						
were selected for recruitment and how many						
people will be participating.						
8. Potential Risk - Statement on any foreseeable						
risk (physical, psychological, social, or economic)						
or discomforts and efforts that will be taken to						
minimize risk. And foreseeable risk also be						
discussed, if appropriate.						
Statement on treatments availability to						
participants if injury or damage is possible. When						
risk are more than minimal, statement on						
encouraging the participants to seek advice before						
consenting.						



TO BE FILLED-OUT BY THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR OR PROPONENT: Indicate if the protocol contains the specified point	YES	NO	N/A	Page & paragraph where it is found	OBSERVATION (TO BE FILLED-OUT BY THE	REFERENCE (TO BE FILLED-OUT BY
ASSESSMENT POINTS					REVIEWER)	THE REVIEWER)
9. Potential Benefit - Statement on specific benefit to participants as well as possible benefits to others						
10. Alternatives - Statement on alternative procedures or treatments that might be advantageous to them.						
11. Compensation - Statement on stipend or reimbursement is to be paid if without fee this arrangement be discussed.						
12. Confidentiality Pledge - Statement that their privacy will be at all-time is protected.						
13. Voluntary Consent Statement on indicating that participation is strictly voluntary and that failure to volunteer will not result in any penalty or loss of benefits						
14. Right to Withdraw and withhold information Statement that they have the right to withdraw from the study or to withhold any specific piece of information. Description on circumstances under						
which researchers terminate the study. 15. Contact Information Statement that the UV-IRB Ethics Review Panel (specify) has approved the study, and may be reached through the						
following contact for information regarding rights of study participants, including grievances and complaints:						
University of the Visayas- Institutional Review Board 2 nd Floor, Administration Building Colon Street, Cebu City 416-8607 or email at uvirb2015@gmail.com						



TO BE FILLED-OUT BY THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR OR PROPONENT: Indicate if the protocol contains the specified point -> ASSESSMENT POINTS	YES	NO	N/A	Page & paragraph where it is found	OBSERVATION (TO BE FILLED-OUT BY THE REVIEWER)	REFERENCE (TO BE FILLED-OUT BY THE REVIEWER)
Documentation of Consent - Signature or Thumbmark Area - Witness - Alternative Form for Consenting if written consent is not possible (shall be done with witness)						
Renewing Consent (shall be declared) - Significant changes in the circumstances or procedures of the research - New information becomes available - Long-term studies even without changes in design						

RECOMMENDED ACTION						
□ APPROVE						
☐ MINOR MODIFICATIONS						
☐ MAJOR MODIFICATIONS						
□ DISAPPROVE						
□ PENDING, IF MAJOR CLARIFICATIONS ARE REQUIRED BEFORE A DECISION CAN BE MAD						
JUSTIFICATION FOR RECOMMENDED ACTION:						
PRIMARY REVIEWER:						
Signature:	Date:					
(Print Name & Signature)						



